

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/845,897	04/28/1997	M. ASHRAF IMAM	77897USI 8846 EXAMINER		
26384 75	590 09/19/2006				
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ASSOCIATE COUNSEL (PATENTS) CODE 1008.2 4555 OVERLOOK AVENUE, S.W.			VO, HAI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20375-5320		DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
08/845,897	IMAM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hai Vo	1771	

Advisory Action	08/845,897 IMAM ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai Vo	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o be with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		20(-) 46	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment i	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,11 and 17-28</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5,6,8-10 and 12-1</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>16</u> .		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As indicated in box 9 above, the affidavit will not be entered because the affidavit failed to overcome all rejections. It is noted that the present invention was reduced to practice prior to a critical date and the showing is sufficient to overcome the art rejections based on JP 08-245810. However, the claimed subject matter is not fully supported by the showing, namely the vol % of the foam. The showing discloses the composite was made from 6-8% density Al foam. Likewise, the composite had about 92 to 94% vol % polymeric matrix. On the other hand, the claims recite 60 to 95 volume % polymeric matrix, which is not commensurate in scope with the declaration. The claims contain subject matter whose volume % range is much broader than the range described in the declaration.

Hai Vo

HAIVO PRIMARY EXAMINER